

§6 Determinant

CONTENTS

1. motivation	1
2. Cofactor expansion	2
3. Row Operations and Determinant	5
4. Linearity Property of the determinant function and Cramer's Rule	8

Topics: Cofactor expansions; Permutations; Row operations; Determinant functions; Cramer's Rule.

1. motivation

Recall that the *determinant* of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix is given by

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc.$$

We expand this definition to  $1 \times 1$  matrices by setting

$$\det [a] = a.$$

For  $1$  and  $2 \times 2$  matrices, we have the following property:

$A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det A \neq 0$ .

**Goal:** Define the determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with  $n \geq 3$ , such that  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det A \neq 0$ .

## 2. Cofactor expansion

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n \geq 2$  and with  $(i, j)$ -th entry  $a_{ij}$ . Let  $A_{ij}$  be the  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrix obtained by deleting the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column from  $A$ . Then the **determinant of  $A$** , denoted  $\det A$ , is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{1+i} a_{1i} \det A_{1i} \\ &= a_{11} \det A_{11} - a_{12} \det A_{12} + \cdots + (-1)^{1+n} a_{1n} \det A_{1n} \end{aligned}$$

This formula for  $\det A$  is called the **first row cofactor expansion** formula for the determinant of  $A$ .

We list some facts about permutation groups. Details of proof can be found in any group theory or abstract algebra book. Let  $[n]$  be the set of  $n$  integers  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $S(n) = \{\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n] \mid \sigma \text{ is a bijection}\}$  be the set of all bijections. We denote an element  $\sigma \in S(n)$  as

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

For short we denote  $\sigma$  as  $(\sigma(1) \sigma(2) \dots \sigma(n))$ .

$S(n)$  is the **permutation group** (symmetric group) with product given by the composition. The **sign** of a permutation  $\sigma \in S(n)$  can be explicitly defined as

$$\text{sign}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is a product of even transpositions} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is a product of odd transpositions} \end{cases}$$

A transposition is a permutation in  $S(n)$  that only switch 2 numbers. For example  $(2, 4) \in S(5)$ ,

$$(2, 4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Another equivalent way to determine the sign of  $\sigma$  is to use the number of inversions. An inversion of  $(\sigma(1) \sigma(2) \dots \sigma(n))$  is the pair of numbers  $(\sigma(i) > \sigma(j))$  for  $i < j$ . For example,  $(2431)$  has 4 inversions  $(21)$ ,  $(43)$ ,  $(41)$ ,  $(31)$ .

$$\text{sign}(\sigma) = (-1)^{N(\sigma)},$$

where  $N(\sigma)$  is the number of inversions of  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 2.** If  $\tau$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by switch two numbers  $i, j$ , then  $\text{sign}(\tau) = -\text{sign}(\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* 1. If  $i, j$  are next to each other, the switch will increase or decrease 1 inversion.

2. In general, suppose there are  $k$  numbers between  $i, j$ , the switch of  $i, j$  can be obtained by switch  $2k + 1$  pairs in case 1. □

**Theorem 3.** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \text{sign}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,\sigma(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This theorem can be proved by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , it is true. Suppose the formula is true for  $n - 1$ , let's show that it is true for  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n); \sigma(1)=i} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n); \sigma(1)=i} (-1)^{1+i} \text{sign}(\sigma(2) \dots \sigma(n)) a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{1+i} a_{1i} \det A_{1i} \\ &= \det A \end{aligned}$$

□

Totally,  $\det(A)$  is a sum of  $n!$  terms.

**Example 4.** Let  $A$  be the  $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $3! = 6$  permutations of  $[3]$  are listed below, along with the determinant of the associated permutation matrix; For the 6 permutations  $(\sigma(1) \sigma(2) \sigma(3))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign}(1 \ 2 \ 3) &= 1 \\ \text{sign}(1 \ 3 \ 2) &= -1 \\ \text{sign}(2 \ 1 \ 3) &= -1 \\ \text{sign}(2 \ 3 \ 1) &= 1 \\ \text{sign}(3 \ 1 \ 2) &= 1 \\ \text{sign}(3 \ 2 \ 1) &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}$$

**Example 5.** Find the determinant of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $A$  invertible?

**Example 6.** Find the determinant of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $A$  invertible?

**Definition 7.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Its  $(i, j)$ -th cofactor  $C_{ij}$  is defined as

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \cdot \det A_{ij}$$

where, as before,  $A_{ij}$  is the  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting its  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column.

Using cofactors, the first row cofactor expansion formula for the determinant of  $A$  can be rewritten as

$$\det A = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12} + \cdots + a_{1n}C_{1n}$$

**Theorem 8.** *The determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be computed via cofactor expansions across any row or down any column of  $A$ :*

$$\det A = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in}$$

$$\det A = a_{1j}C_{1j} + a_{2j}C_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}C_{nj}$$

*These formulas are called the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column cofactor expansions for  $\det A$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3. □

**Example 9.** Redo Example 2.

**Example 10.** Find the determinant of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1.2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & \pi \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$

Recall the definition of **lower triangular matrix**. Similarly, we can define **upper triangular matrix**. An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is called **triangular** if it is either lower or upper triangular.

**Theorem 11** (Determinants of Triangular Matrices). *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  triangular matrix, then  $\det A$  equals the product of the diagonal entries of  $A$ :*

$$\det A = a_{11} \times a_{22} \times \cdots \times a_{nn}.$$

**Example 12.** Find the determinant of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{2} & 3 & 1.7 \\ 0 & 3 & 7 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \pi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $A$  invertible?

**Example 13.** Find out for which value of  $\lambda$  the matrix  $A - \lambda I$  is not invertible, where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{2} & 1.7 \\ 0 & 3 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

**Example 14.** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix. Consider the relation between  $\det(kA)$ ,  $\det(A^{-1})$ ,  $\det(A^T)$  and  $\det(A)$ .

We consider this in the next section.

**Block Matrix.**

Determinant

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 11 & \sqrt{3} \\ 2 & 3 & \pi & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Theorem 15.** If  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$ , then,

$$\det(M) = \det(A) \det(C).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$

□

No such formula for  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  in general.

### 3. Row Operations and Determinant

Recall that there are three types of *elementary row operations*:

1. (Replacement) Add to one row the multiple of another row.
2. (Interchange) Interchange two rows.
3. (Scaling) Multiply all entries of a given row by a nonzero constant.

**Question:**

If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is modified by a single one of the elementary row operations, how does that affect its determinant?

**Theorem 16** (Row Operations and the Determinant). Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $B$  be a matrix obtained from  $A$  by a single elementary row operation.

1. If  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by an Interchange operation, then

$$\det B = -\det A.$$

2. If  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by a Scaling operation by a factor  $k$ , then

$$\det B = k \det A.$$

3. If  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by a Replacement operation, then

$$\det B = \det A.$$

*Proof.* 1. Suppose  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by switching  $i, j$ -th rows. By Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{j,\sigma(i)} \cdots a_{i,\sigma(j)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} -\text{sign}(\sigma(1) \dots \sigma(j) \dots \sigma(i) \dots \sigma(n)) a_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{i,\sigma(j)} \cdots a_{j,\sigma(i)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ &= -\det(A) \end{aligned}$$

2. By Theorem 8,

$$\det(B) = \sum_{j=1}^n k a_{ij} C_{ij} = k \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij} = k \det(A).$$

The third formula can be proved by the following propositions. □

**Proposition 17.**

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ b_1 + c_1 & b_2 + c_2 & \cdots & b_n + c_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 8. □

**Proposition 18.** In a matrix  $A$ , if the  $i$ -th row equals the  $j$ -th row, then  $\det(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If we switch  $i$ -th row is a scalar product of the  $j$ -th row, then  $\det(A) = -\det(A)$ , so  $\det(A) = 0$ . □

**Proposition 19.** In a matrix  $A$ , if the  $i$ -th row is a scalar product of the  $j$ -th row, then  $\det(A) = 0$

**Theorem 20.** An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det A \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 16,  $\det(\mathbf{rref}(A)) = \pm k \det(A)$  where  $k \neq 0$ .  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathbf{rref}(A) = I_n$ ,  $\det(\mathbf{rref}(A)) = 1$ . □

**Proposition 21.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$\det(kA) = (k^n)(\det A).$$

**Proposition 22.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix that can be reduced to a matrix  $U$  in echelon form with only Replacement and Interchange operations. Then

$$\det A = (-1)^r \cdot \det U$$

where  $r$  is the number of Interchange operations used to get from  $A$  to  $U$ .

- The determinant  $\det U = 0$  if and only if  $U$  has a 0 on its diagonal, which in turn can only happen if  $U$  has a row of zeros.

**Theorem 23** (Determinant of the Transpose Matrix).

$$\det A^T = \det A.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}) a_{\sigma^{-1}(1),1} a_{\sigma^{-1}(2),2} \cdots a_{\sigma^{-1}(n),n} \\ &= \det(A^T) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the property that  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1})$  and  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{-1}$  is a bijection between  $S(n)$  and itself.  $\square$

**Theorem 24** (Determinants of Products of Matrices). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $n \times n$  matrices.

$$\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B).$$

*Proof.* Case 1. If  $A$  is invertible, then  $A = E_1 \cdots E_s$  a product of elementary matrices.

Theorem 16 shows that  $\det(E_{ij}B) = -\det(B) = \det(E_{ij}) \det(B)$ ,  $\det(E_i(c)B) = \det(E_i(c)) \det(B)$  and  $\det(E_{ij}(c)B) = \det(B)$ .

Then  $\det(AB) = \det(E_1 \cdots E_s B) = \det(E_1) \det(E_2 \cdots E_s B) = \det(E_1) \det(E_2) \cdots \det(E_s) \det(B)$ . In particular, when  $B = I_n$  then  $\det(A) = \det(E_1) \det(E_2) \cdots \det(E_s)$ . So,  $\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B)$ .

Case 2. If  $A$  is not invertible, then  $\text{rank}(A) < n$ . Then  $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A) < n$ . So,  $\det(AB) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 25.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$\det(A^m) = (\det(A))^m$$

**Proposition 26.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix.

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det A}.$$

Question: How about  $\det(A + B)$ ? Is it  $\det(A) + \det(B)$ ?

**Example 27.** Find the determinant of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $A$  invertible?

**Example 28.** Find the determinant of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 9 \\ 3 & 6 & 11 & 12 \\ 4 & 8 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $A$  invertible?

**Definition 29** (Elementary Column Operations). 1. (Column Replacement) Add to one column the multiple of another column.  
 2. (Column Interchange) Interchange two columns.  
 3. (Column Scaling) Multiply all entries of a given column by a scalar.

Since column operations on a matrix  $A$  can be thought of as row operations on its transpose matrix  $A^T$ , and since  $\det A = \det A^T$ , the rules for how elementary row operations affect the determinant can be used to give a similar rule for column operations.

**Theorem 30** (Column Operations and the Determinant). *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $B$  be a matrix obtained from  $A$  by a single elementary row operation.*

1. *If  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by a Column Replacement operation, then*

$$\det B = \det A.$$

2. *If  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by a Column Interchange operation, then*

$$\det B = -\det A.$$

3. *If  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by a Column Scaling operation by a factor  $k$ , then*

$$\det B = k \det A.$$

**Example 31.** Vandermonde determinant

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 \end{vmatrix} = (a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_1)(a_2 - a_1)$$

More generally, by induction on  $n$ , we can prove that

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 & \cdots & a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^{n-1} & a_2^{n-1} & a_3^{n-1} & \cdots & a_n^{n-1} \\ a_1^n & a_2^n & a_3^n & \cdots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} (a_i - a_j)$$

#### 4. Linearity Property of the determinant function and Cramer's Rule

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n$ ,

$$A = [\vec{a}_1 \quad \vec{a}_2 \quad \dots \quad \vec{a}_n]$$



Let  $\vec{x}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector (an  $n \times 1$  matrix) and consider the transformation

$$T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$T(\vec{x}) = \det([\vec{a}_1 \ \dots \ \vec{a}_{j-1} \ \vec{x} \ \vec{a}_{j+1} \ \dots \ \vec{a}_n])$$

**Theorem 32** (Linearity and Determinants). *The transformation  $T$  defined above is a linear transformation, that is*

(a)  $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$  for all  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and

(b)  $T(c\vec{x}) = cT(\vec{x})$  for all  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Accordingly there exists a  $1 \times n$  matrix  $B$  such that  $T = T_B$ .

*Proof.* By Theorems 23, 16 and Proposition 17. □

**Example 33** (Finding matrix for the determinant transformation for a given  $A$ ).

Consider a matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  in which  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix, and write  $A = [\vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \ \dots \ \vec{a}_n]$ . Let

$$A_i(\vec{b}) = [\vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \ \dots \ \vec{a}_{i-1} \ \vec{b} \ \vec{a}_{i+1} \ \dots \ \vec{a}_n]$$

**Theorem 34** (Cramer's Rule). *The unique solution  $\vec{x}$  of the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  (for the case when  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix), is given by*

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(\vec{b})}{\det A}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* First, from cofactor expansion,  $\det(A_i(\vec{b})) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j C_{ij}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k1}x_1 + a_{k2}x_2 + \dots + a_{kn}x_n &= \frac{1}{\det(A)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ki} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j C_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(A)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ki} C_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(A)} (b_k \det(A)) \\ &= b_k \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . This verifies that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a solution of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ . □

Let  $C$  be the associated  $n \times n$  matrix of cofactors defined as:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \dots & C_{1n} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \dots & C_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{n1} & C_{n2} & \dots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The transpose of  $C$  is called the **adjugate matrix of  $A$** , denoted by  $\text{adj}A$ :

$$\text{adj}A = C^T = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & \dots & C_{n1} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & \dots & C_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{1n} & C_{2n} & \dots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Theorem 35.** *If  $A$  is a invertible matrix then  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \cdot \text{adj}A$*

*Proof.* Similarly as the proof of Cramer's Rule, verify that  $A \frac{1}{\det A} \cdot \text{adj}A = I_n$  □