Northeastern University, Department of Mathematics

MATH G5110: Applied Linear Algebra and Matrix Analysis. (Fall 2020)

• Instructor: He Wang Email: he.wang@northeastern.edu

§4. Bases

#### CONTENTS

1.	Linear Independence	1
2.	Basis of a vector space	3
3.	The Dimension of a Subspace	4
4.	Basis of Null space and range	6
5.	Examples	7

## 1. Linear Independence

Let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_t$  be vectors in a vector space V. Then  $\text{Span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_t)$  is a subspace of V.

Definition 1. ● The set of vectors v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>p</sub> in V is said to be (linearly) independent if the homogeneous vector equation
x<sub>1</sub>v<sub>1</sub> + x<sub>2</sub>v<sub>2</sub> + ··· + x<sub>p</sub>v<sub>p</sub> = 0
only has the trivial solution x<sub>1</sub> = x<sub>2</sub> = ··· = x<sub>p</sub> = 0.
If there exists a nontrivial solution (a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>p</sub>), then v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>p</sub> is said to be (linearly) depen-

• If there exists a nontrivial solution  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p)$ , then  $v_1, \ldots, v_p$  is said to be (linearly) dependent. In this case,

 $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$ 

is a **nontrivial relation** among the vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p$ .

**Remark 2.** A (possibly infinite) subset W of a vector space V is said to be *linearly independent* if all finite subsets of W are linearly independent.

**Remark 3.** Unlike in the case of  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ , in the general setting of vector spaces, equation (1) cannot be written as a matrix equation (directly).

We say a vector  $\vec{v}_i$  (for  $i \ge 2$ ) is **redundant** if it is a linear combination of the preceding vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_{i-1}\}$ , i.e.,

$$\vec{v}_i = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1}$$

**Proposition 4.** Suppose  $\vec{v}_i$  is redundant in  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \hat{\vec{v}_i}, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}.$ 

Here  $\hat{\vec{v}_i}$  is removed.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} \supseteq \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \hat{\vec{v}_i}, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ . We show  $\subseteq$  next. Suppose  $\vec{u} \in \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ . Then  $\vec{u} = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_p\vec{v}_p$ . Replace  $\vec{v}_i$  by the above redundant equation,  $\vec{u}$  is a linear combination without  $\vec{v}_i$ . Hence,  $\vec{u} \in \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \hat{\vec{v}_i}, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ .

**Proposition 5.** • Suppose  $\vec{v_1} \neq \vec{0}$ . The set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_p}\}$  is independent if and only if none of them is redundant.

- If the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  of vectors contains the zero vector  $\vec{0}$ , then it is linearly dependent.
- If a subset of the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is linearly dependent, then  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is dependent.

*Proof.* The first claim is equivalent to: the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is dependent if and only if one of them is redundant.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is dependent. Then

$$a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$$

has non-trivial solution. Let i be the largest number such that  $a_i \neq 0$ .  $(i \neq 1 \text{ since } \vec{v_1} \neq \vec{0})$ . Then

$$-a_i \vec{v}_i = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1}$$

So,

$$\vec{v}_i = -a_i^{-1}a_1\vec{v}_1 - a_i^{-1}a_2\vec{v}_2 - \dots - a_i^{-1}a_{i-1}\vec{v}_{i-1}$$

That is,  $\vec{v_i}$  is redundant.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose  $\vec{v}_i$  is redundant. Then

$$\vec{v}_i = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1}$$

So,

$$a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{i-1}\vec{v}_{i-1} - \vec{v}_i = 0$$

So,  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is dependent. The proof of the rest two are easy.

**Proposition 6.** A set  $\{\vec{v}\}$  is linearly dependent if and only if  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

A set  $\{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\}$  is linearly dependent if and only if one of the two vectors is a scalar multiple of the other vector.

In  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , the vector equation  $x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + x_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$  is equivalent to using the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  or the augmented matrix  $[A \mid \vec{0}]$ . So  $A = [\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_p]$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix.

**Proposition 7.** The set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\} \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  is independent if and only if the homogeneous equation only has zero solution; if and only if there is no free variable; if and only if all columns contain pivots; if and only if  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = p$ ; (i.e., A has full rank. ) if and only if  $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

**Proposition 8.** If p > n, then a set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is linearly dependent.

*Proof.* From the above proposition, if the set is independent,  $rank(A) = p \le n$ .

Warning: The preceding property does **not** say that  $p \leq n$  implies that  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is linearly independent.

### 2. Basis of a vector space

**Definition 9.** Let V be vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . A subset  $B = {\vec{b_1}, \ldots, \vec{b_n}}$  of V is called a **basis** for V if

- (i) B is linearly independent, and
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Span}\{\vec{b}_1,\ldots,\vec{b}_n\}=V.$

A subset B of a vector space V has a "greater chance" of being

- linearly independent, if it has fewer vectors;
- a spanning set of V, if it has more vectors.

A basis B for a vector space V is a set that has balanced these two competing requirements. We can think of a basis B as a spanning set that is as small as possible, and as a linearly independent set that is as large as possible.

More precisely, we have

**Theorem 10.** If  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, ..., \vec{v}_n\}$  is independent in *V*, and  $V = \text{Span}\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, ..., \vec{w}_m\}$ , then  $m \ge n$ .

*Proof.* We add  $\vec{v}_1$  to the **spanning** set and get a **dependent** set

 $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ 

Since  $\vec{v}_1 \neq 0$ , we can remove one of  $\vec{w}_i$  from the set. We then add  $\vec{v}_2$  to the new spanning set and get a dependent set

$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \hat{\vec{w}}_i, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}.$$

Claim: One of the w's is redundant.

Proof of claim: If all w's are not redundant,  $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{w}_1 + \cdots + a_{m+1}\vec{w}_m = \vec{0}$  has a non-trivial solutions  $(a_1, a_2, 0, 0, ..., 0)$ . That is  $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 = 0$  which is a contradiction. So, we can remove one redundant  $w_i$ 's and get a new spanning set

 $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \widehat{\vec{w}_i}, \dots, \widehat{\vec{w}_j}, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}.$ 

Keep adding the rest of v's and remove the redundant w's. We get a spanning set with  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$  and the  $n \ w's$  removed. So, we must have  $m \ge n$ .

**Example 11.** The column vectors of the identity matrix  $I_n$ ,  $\vec{e_1}, \vec{e_2}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}$  form a standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .

**Example 12.** Find a basis for the vector space  $M_2$  of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices. The standard basis for  $M_2$  is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ 

**Example 13.** Find a basis for the vector space  $P_2$  of all polynomials of degree  $\leq 2$ . The standard basis for  $P_2$  is  $\{1, t, t^2\}$ .

**Theorem 14** (Spanning Set Theorem). Let V be a vector space and let  $S = {\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p}$  be a subset of V with  $\text{Span}{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p} = H$ .

• If one of the vectors in S, say  $\vec{v}_k$ , is a linear combination of the remaining vectors in S, then the set  $S - {\vec{v}_k}$  still spans H,

$$H = \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}, \vec{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$$

• If  $H \neq \{\vec{0}\}$  then some subset of S is a basis for H.

**Remark 15** (Algorithm for Finding a Basis). The preceding theorem provides a recipe for finding a basis for a subspace H of a vector space V. Namely,

- Pick a generating set  $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  of H.
- Keep removing vectors from S that are linear combinations of other vectors in S.

• Once there are no more vectors left in S that are linear combinations of other vectors in S, S is a basis for H.

From the algorithm, we obtained that

**Proposition 16.** (1) Every spanning set of a finite-dimensional vector space can be reduced to a basis.

(2) Any finite-dimensional vector space has a basis.

(3) Any independent set in a finite-dimensional vector space can be extended to a basis.

*Proof.* For part (3), we need the trick in the proof of Theorem 10

#### 3. The Dimension of a Subspace

For a finite-dimensional vector space V, it has many different bases. However, they contain some common properties.

**Theorem 17.** If  $\mathscr{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_p\}$  and  $\mathscr{D} = \{\vec{d}_1, \ldots, \vec{d}_m\}$  are two bases for V, then p = m.

*Proof.* A basis is independent and span the space V. So,  $p \le m$  and  $p \ge m$ . Then p = m.

**Definition 18** (The Dimension of a Vector Space). The **dimension** of a vector space V is defined as

 $\dim V :=$  The cardinality of any basis for V,

i.e., the number of elements in a basis.

By convention, the dimension of the vector space  $V = \vec{0}$  is 0.

**Example 19.** The dimension of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is n.

**Lemma 20.** Suppose  $\mathscr{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_p\}$  is a basis for V. (That is dim V = p.) (1) Any set of more than p vectors is linearly dependent. (2) Any set of less than p vectors can not span V.

*Proof.* From Theorem 10 in the last subsection.

**Theorem 21** (The Basis Theorem). Let V be a vector space with  $\dim(V) = p \ge 1$ .

- Any linearly independent set of exactly p elements in V is automatically a basis for V.
- Any set of p elements in V that span V, is automatically a basis for V.

Proof. (1) Suppose  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \cdots, \vec{u}_p\}$  is independent in V. If there is a vector  $\vec{v} \in V$ , such that  $\vec{v} \notin \text{Span}\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \cdots, \vec{u}_p\}$ , then  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \cdots, \vec{u}_p, \vec{v}\}$  is independent in V. This is a contradiction to the above Lemma. (2) Suppose  $V = \text{Span}\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \cdots, \vec{w}_p\}$ . If  $\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \cdots, \vec{w}_p\}$  is dependent, then we can delete a redundant element from it and the rest still span V. This is a contradiction by the above Lemma.

**Theorem 22.** Let U be a subspace of a finite-dimensional space V. There is a subspace W such that  $V = U \oplus W$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_p \text{ is a basis of } U$ . We can extended it to a basis of V by adding  $\{\vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_m\}$ . Define  $W := \text{Span}\{\vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_m\}$ . Claim: V = U + W and  $U \cap W = \{\vec{0}\}$ . (Verify this.)

**Theorem 23.** Let U and V be subspaces of a finite-dimensional space. Then  $\dim(U+V) = \dim U + \dim V - \dim(U \cap V)$ 

*Proof.* Idea of the proof: Start from a basis  $\vec{w_1}, \ldots, \vec{w_p}$  of  $U \cap V$  and extended it to be a basis  $\vec{w_1}, \ldots, \vec{w_p}, \vec{u_1}, \ldots, \vec{u_m}$  of U and a basis  $\vec{w_1}, \ldots, \vec{w_p}, \vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  of V. Then dim  $U \cap V = p$ , dim U = p + m, and dim V = p + n. Claim:  $\vec{w_1}, \ldots, \vec{w_p}, \vec{u_1}, \ldots, \vec{u_m}, \vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  form a basis of U + V. (Verify this from definition.)

In particular,  $\dim(U \oplus V) = \dim U + \dim V$ . On the other side, we have the following theorem.

**Corollary 24.** Let U and W be subspaces of an n-dimensional space V. Suppose dim U+dim W = nand  $U \cap W = {\vec{0}}$ , then  $V = U \oplus W$ .

**Theorem 25.** Suppose V is a finite dimensional and  $U_1, \ldots, U_p$  are subspaces of V such that  $V = U_1 + \cdots + U_p$  and dim  $V = \dim U_1 + \cdots + \dim U_p$ . Then  $V = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_p$ .

# 4. Basis of Null space and range

Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. The **rank** of T is defined as the dimension of the image of T. The **nullity** of T is defined as the dimension of the kernel of T.

**Theorem 26.** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Then  $\dim V = \dim(\ker T) + \dim(\operatorname{im} T)$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $\vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_p$  is a basis for ker T. We can extended it to a basis  $\{\vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_p, \vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_m\}$  of V. Then, dim V = p + m and dim ker T = p. Claim:  $\{T(\vec{v}_1), \ldots, T(v_m)\}$  is a basis for im T. (Verify this from definition: span and independent).

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The linear transformation defined by A is  $T_A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$ . We know that  $\dim(\ker T) + \dim(\operatorname{im} T) = n$ . Now, we can also find basis for each space.

**Theorem 27** (Basis for im(A)). A basis for the image im(A) is given by the pivot columns of A. In particular, dim(im A) = rank A.

*Proof.*  $\operatorname{im}(A) = \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{a}_1, \cdots, \vec{a}_n\}$ . Suppose the pivots columns are  $\vec{a}_{c_1}, \vec{a}_{c_2}, \dots, \vec{a}_{c_r}$ . They are independent and all other columns are redundant columns, because they are corresponding free variables. Hence,  $\operatorname{im}(A) = \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{a}_{c_1}, \vec{a}_{c_2}, \dots, \vec{a}_{c_r}\}$ . So,  $\{\vec{a}_{c_1}, \vec{a}_{c_2}, \dots, \vec{a}_{c_r}\}$  is a basis for  $\operatorname{im}(A)$ .

**Theorem 28** (Basis for ker(A)). Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Solve the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ . Write  $\vec{x}$  as a linear combination of vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p$  with the weights corresponding to the free variables. Then  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is a basis for ker(A).

*Proof.* First, we know that  $\ker(A) = \operatorname{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$ . Since p is the number of free variables, so  $\dim(\ker T) = n - \dim(\operatorname{im} A) = p$ . So,  $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is a basis for  $\ker(A)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 29** (The Dimensions of ker(A) and im(A)). Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then,  $\dim(\ker(A)) + \dim(\operatorname{im}(A)) = n.$ 

*Proof.* Consider the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ . The dimension of ker(A) is the number of free variables in the equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ . The dimension of im(A) is the number of pivot columns in A, which is also the rank of A. So the sum dim(ker(A)) + dim(im(A)) is the total number of variables.

#### 5. Examples

**Example 31.** Find bases for the kernel and image of the transformation defined by  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & -8 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 & 2 & -5 & -2 \\ 2 & 12 & 2 & -2 & -3 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

We already know  $\operatorname{rref}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

A vector  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to the column space of A if and only if there exist numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_p$  such that

$$x_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + x_p\vec{a}_p = \vec{b}.$$

This in turn happens if and only if the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has at least one solution  $\vec{x}$ .

This last point shows that  $im(A) = \mathbb{F}^p$  if and only if the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a solution  $\vec{x}$  for every choice of  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

#### *Proof.* Proof of the uniqueness of reduced echelon form:

**Example 32.** Can you find a  $3 \times 3$  matrix A such that dim(ker A) = dim(im(A))?

**Example 33.** Can you find a  $4 \times 4$  matrix A such that  $\dim(\ker A) = \dim(\operatorname{im}(A))$ ?

**Example 34.** If an  $4 \times 4$  matrix A = BC such that B is a  $4 \times 3$  matrix and C is a  $3 \times 4$  matrix. Is A invertible?

**Example 35.** A subspace V of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is called a hyperplane if V is defined by

$$c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n = 0$$

where at least one  $c_i$  is not zero. What is the dimension of V?

A vector  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to the column space of A if and only if there exist numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_p$  such that

$$x_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + x_p\vec{a}_p = \vec{b}.$$

This in turn happens if and only if the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has at least one solution  $\vec{x}$ .

This last point shows that  $im(A) = \mathbb{F}^p$  if and only if the matrix equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a solution  $\vec{x}$  for every choice of  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

**Example 36.** Let *T* be the transformation defined by 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Page 7

Suppose we already know  $\operatorname{rref}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Q1. Find **bases** for the **kernel** and **image** of T.

Q2. What are the dimensions of for the **kernel** and **image** of A?

Q3. Is 
$$\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 in the kernel ker(A)?

Q4. Is  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  in the column of A? Is  $\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\2\\5 \end{bmatrix}$  in the column of A?

**Example 37.** Let S(n) be the subset of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , defined by

$$S(n) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A = A^T\}$$

Show that S(n) is a subspace. What is a basis for S(n)? What is the dimension of S(n)? Example 38. Let O(n) be the subset of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , defined by

$$O(n) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A = -A^T\}$$

Show that O(n) is a subspace. What is a basis for O(n)? What is the dimension of O(n)?